

way it's going to take 30 votes to add an amendment is if what you're offering is the same as a bill that was killed, not substantially. Senator Dierks may have a bill related to some aspect of veterinary medicine. Since we are neophytes, anything is substantially the same as that, Senator Dierks, because we don't know enough to make those fine distinctions. And it could be the same with other bills. So anything that would be offered relative to veterinary medicine would be substantially the same as any bill that was killed with reference to any aspect of veterinary medicine or the creatures on whom veterinary medicine would be practiced. I think what Senator Wickersham is offering is unwise, but I'm trying to wizen it up just a little bit with my amendment. Mine would strike the word "substantially" wherever it appears in his proposed rule change.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Mr. Clerk, you have a motion.

CLERK: May I read some items, Madam President?

SENATOR CROSBY: Yes, would you like to read some items into the record?

CLERK: Yes, I would, thank you. I have the report of registered lobbyists for this past week to be inserted in the Journal. Proposed rules change to be printed by Senator Withem. Senator Hudkins has selected LB 256 as her priority bill for this session. Gubernatorial appointment to be referred to Reference for confirmation hearing. New bills. (Read LB 1106-1117 by title for the first time.) Senator Lindsay would like to add his name to LB 1044 as a cointroducer. (See pages 280-96 of the Legislative Journal.)

Senator Will would move to recess until 1:30 p.m. this afternoon, Madam President.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. You've heard the motion to recess until 1:30 this afternoon. All in favor say aye. Opposed the same. We're recessed until 1:30.

RECESS